The Army National Guard Light Infantry Battalion at xCTC

MAJ ALEXI D. FRANKLIN

uring the summer of 2019, the 2nd Infantry Brigade Combat Team (IBCT), 28th Infantry Division participated in Exportable Combat Training Capability (xCTC) rotation 19-02 from 7-29 June at Fort Pickett, VA. While the 1st Battalion, 175th Infantry Regiment gleaned a great amount of value from this valuable developmental training rotation, gaining the full training value was somewhat hampered due to a lack of understanding of what an xCTC rotation entailed.

According to the xCTC website (www.xctc.org), the Army National Guard's xCTC program "is an instrumented brigade field training exercise designed to certify platoon proficiency in coordination with First Army. xCTC provides an experience similar to a Combat Training Center to Guard Soldiers at home station or at a regional training center, minimizing cost and time away from home and jobs. The program brings full training resource packages to National Guard and activeduty bases around the country, allowing units to train on their schedule, close to home."

With externally resourced lanes and evaluators from First Army and an externally resourced active-duty opposing force (OPFOR), xCTC simultaneously frees units from the significant burden of resourcing their own training while providing neutral, third-party observation from both the OPFOR and observer-coach-trainer (OCT) perspective.

While xCTC offers some aspects of what a CTC rotation presents, xCTC is not a CTC rotation. It is undoubtedly a valuable training experience, but it does not provide the same level of sustained, whole-formation, broad-spectrum operations that CTC rotations are notorious for. In practical terms, xCTC is a platoon-centric training exercise with a small amount of company-level lanes, culminating in (at least for rotation 19-02) a brigade-level exercise.

For purposes of illustration, during 19 training days, a given line infantry platoon spent two days on squad live fire, four days on situational training exercise (STX) lanes, four days on platoon live-fire exercise (LFX) lanes, four days on troop leading procedures (TLPs), two days on a company defense, and three days as part of a brigade defense. The TLP days were evenly spread out during the rotation, providing the Soldiers the opportunity to return to the cantonment area every three to five days to refit. Within the tactical training scenarios, each lane had specified start and end times, enabling units to shuttle Soldiers between an administrative field sleeping area and their tactical lanes. While challenging, the xCTC rotation was not the sustained CTC-like rotation the unit had prepared for. At no time were the companies

Soldiers from B Company, 1st Battalion, 175th Infantry Regiment, assault the objective through obscuration smoke during Exportable Combat Training Capability rotation 19-02 at Fort Pickett, VA.

Photos courtesy of author



	5-Jun-19	6-Jun-19	7-Jun-19	8-Jun-19	9-Jun-19	10-Jun-19	11-Jun-19	12-Jun-19	13-Jun-19	14-Jun-19	15-Jun-19	16-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	18-Jun-19	19-Jun-19	20-Jun-19	21-Jun-19	22-Jun-19	23-Jun-19	24-Jun-19	25-Jun-19	26-Jun-19	27-Jun-19	28-Jun-19	29-Jun-19	30-Jun-19
	D-5	D-4	D-3	D-2	D-1	D Day	D+1	D+2	D+3	D+4	D+5	D+6	D+7	D+8	D+9	D+10	D+11	D+12	D+13	D+14	D+15	D+16	D+17	D+18	D+19	D+20
1-175 IN																	BN I	IOMP	FRAGO		63					
HHC/1-175 Command Group		_																			e e					
Current OPS	K.	ADVON MILES			Establish						CUOPS	CUOPS						CUOPS CU		OPS						
Sustainment	ă.	Draw @0900			Establish TOC	Sustainment Ops Sustainment Ops Sustainment Ops Sustainment Ops MOMP										ment Ops										
Fires DET	6				TLPs	ISO A-Co Defense		OP 4/5, RNG 18, RNG		TLPs	ISO C-Co MTC		TLPs 150 8-Co		Co ATK	ix.		ISO PLT CALFEX								
Mortars	5				TLPs	TLPs			Ne		Occupy MTR MTR Live		Fire (60mm, 12	Omm) 335	on) 336 TLPs		ISO PLT CALFEX				0					
Scouts							Beach 0600			TLPs AA Prep	AREA RECON (D) 1 (D4-67) Seach 17000000 EMP(OF SUPPER (TA 21) Beach 1700 00000			A SHIPER	3673		AREA RECON (UREAS)									
Sniper Sqd																	Beach	100,0000			*					
Medical Platoon											MASCAL DEF ISO 8 CC	10000	Deach 1	MASCAL ATK ISO A CO (TA 45)												
A/1-175											CO MTC	CO MTC	TLPs	COATK	COATK											
1/A				MILES / INSTRUMENTATION	TLPs	(TA	fense 3 12)	SQLFX	X OP 4/5	TLPs	PLT MTC 1 (TA 45) Beach 1700	(TA45) (I-AAR) (1/170800) Beach 1700	I-AAR	PLT ATK 1 (TA 46) Beach 1700	(TA 46) (I- AAR) (3/200800)					TLPs						
2/A						Beach 1	700/ 0600				PLT MTC 2 (TA 45) Beach 1700	PLT MTC 1 (TA45) (LAAR) (1/170800) Beach 1700	TLPs	PLT ATK 2 (TA 46) Beach 1700	9EYRIXY (TA 46) (I- AAR) (3/200800)											
B/1-175					TLPs	COMTC					Beach 1/0			COATK							1					
1/B	ADVON Travel to TAA Keystone RSOI @ 1400		TRAVEL to TAA Keystone			PLT MTC 4 (TA 12) Beach 1700	PLT MTC 5 (TA12) (I-AAR) (2/121100) Beach 1700	SQUEX RNG 18		TLPs	Co Defense 3 (TA 12) Beach 1700/0600		TLPs AA Prep	PLT ATK 7 (TA 14) Beach 1700	PLT ATK 8 (TA 14) (IAAR) (4/200800) Beach 1700		PLT CALFEX IPBC			TLPs	BN Defense FTX ISO BDE FTX		RECOVERY	RECOVERY /	Main Body TRAVEL by	
2/B			RSOI @ 1500			PLT MTC 5 (TA 12) Beach 1700	PLT MTC 4 (TA12) (I-AAR) (1/121100) Beach 1700						AA Prep	PLT ATK 8 (TA 54) Beach 1700	PLT ATK 7 (TA14) (I-AAR) (4/200800)										Bus	
C/1-175					TLPs	COATK	CO ATK				COMTC	COMTC	TLPs	Seach 1700 Beach 1700												
1/C			-			PLT ATK 7 (TA 14) Beach 1700	(TA 14) (I- AAR) (2)120800)			TLPs	PLT MTC 4 (TA 12) Beach 1700	PLT MTC 5 (TA12) (I-AAR) (1/170800)	0	Co Defense 3 (TA 12)						TLPs						
2/C	ŧ					PLT ATK 8 (TA 14) Beach 1700	PLT ATK 7 (TA14) (I-AAR) (1/120800)	SQLFX RNG 16NS		1000	PLT MTC 5 (TA12) Beach 1700	Beach 1700 PLT MTC 4 (TA12) (I-AAR) (1/170800)	TLPs	Beach 1700/0600						.514.5						
D/1-175					TLPs		Beach 1700 Operations			Me	meuver Operat	Beach 1700 ions						Maneuver	Operations							
1/D	S					ISO A CO 1	lefense -175 (TA 12) 1700	TLPs	ABF 1 (TA11) Beach 1700	ABF 2 (TA 11) (I-AAR) (2/150800) Beach 1700	I-AAR TLPs	AAR TIPS TID	MTC 1-(TA11) (I AAR) (3/170800) Beach 1700					CBDL 3 (TA 11) Beach 0600	TLPs							
2/D						MTC 2 (TA 11) Beach 1700	MTC 1 (TA 11) (S-AAR) (3/120800)	FAAR TUPs	ABF 2 (TA11) Beach 1700	ABF 1 (TA 11) (I-AAR) (I-170800) Beach 1700 MTC 1 (TA 11) (I-AAR) (I-150800)	Area Defense ISO B CO 1-175 (TA 12) Beach 1700		343011100	Gunnery Tables RNG 16		TLPs	TLPs	CBDL 2 (TA11) Beach 0000	TLPs							
3/D						ABF 1 (TA 11) Beach 1700	Beach 1700 ABF 4 (TA 11 (I-AAR) (3/12/100)		MTC 2 (TA11) Beach 1700		I-AAR TLPs	CBDL 3 (TA 11) Beach 0600	TLPs					ISO C CO 1	efense -110 (TA 51)							
H/128 BSB CO HQ						Desch 1700	Beach 1700		DEBLIN TITUL	Beach1700		DEBON 0000						Beac	sh 1700							
MAINT	ξ.				TLPs						VEH REC 1 (TA 54) Beach 0600									TLPs						
DISTRO	2											TAC CON (TA 30) Beach 0000						CBDL 1 (TA 48) Beach 0000								

1-175th Infantry Execution Matrix

or battalion probed, attacked, or otherwise harassed outside of these explicit lanes. The battalion headquarters had to displace only once during the transition from the platoon and company lanes into the brigade defense.

Challenges at XCTC

While at xCTC the majority of the battalion staff's workload was largely administrative, not tactical. The battalion operations and sustainment staff primarily deconflicted range times, coordinated troop movement requests, and remained on top of home-station administrative responsibilities. The most significant exercise-related, staff-centric work came in the form of managing ammunition draw and delivery and troop movement requests.

For staff training purposes, the rotation did not incorporate a dynamic, live intelligence and orders process. While the staff eventually conducted two abridged, ad hoc iterations of military decision-making process (MDMP) familiarization, that training was not prepared beforehand due to the belief that the unit would be decisively engaged in a CTC-like fight. Overall, xCTC offered little in the way of staff training and development and, at a length of several weeks, represented a missed opportunity for the battalion.

Another issue for the battalion in the lead-up to xCTC and during execution was a capability gap in the battalion's ability to operate as a synchronizing tactical headquarters. Unfortunately, due to its platoon-centric focus, xCTC did not place particular emphasis on these capabilities, and in key areas (such as S6 and enabler synchronization) the exercise

did not serve as a forcing function for the battalion staff to improve in those areas.

Similar to the issues experienced by the battalion staff, the battalion's forward support company (FSC) operated exclusively out of a "forward logistics element" that had an administrative, not tactical, footprint and security posture. Due to a directed support course of action, the battalion was unable to gain the training value of executing the echeloned "battalion concept of support" as detailed in ATP 3-21.20, Infantry Battalion, exercising the challenges of moving supplies by echelon from a brigade support area to a battalion combat trains command post to a company-level logistics release point. As a result, the battalion did not have the opportunity to exercise and practice a doctrinal logistical flow. Units preparing for their own xCTC rotations should be aware of this dynamic and either embrace it in the name of expediency or push against it to provide additional training value for the tactical integration of FSC operations with the battalion's staff. This is not a light consideration; units will be adding artificial, self-imposed friction to gain training value for the battalion staff with the potential to have negative impacts on company-level training lanes.

Due to low manning, the lack of a comprehensive and over-arching scenario, and conflicting lanes-based training while at xCTC, the battalion's medics, fire supporters, snipers, scouts, and Raven small unmanned aircraft system (SUAS) operators were never fully integrated into the battalion's operations or actively employed by the companies and platoons. Here as well, home-station decisions fed in-exercise

outcomes: Fire supporters, medics, and scout/snipers had not been integrated into home-station weekend drill training periods. That freedom provided to these sections enabled them to easily satisfy their specialized training goals and mandatory certifications, but it had done so at the expense of true integration with line units. The challenge of employing SUAS in the highly restricted airspace surrounding the unit's stationing in the national capital region meant that SUAS operators had been trained across the battalion, but as siloed efforts apart from the companies and with no clear means of integration in the battalion's intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) flow.

Overall, while the battalion staff did not accomplish all planned objectives and gain significant development, unit leaders and staff actively conducted after action reviews (AARs), solicited feedback, and quickly set about developing materiel and standard operating procedure (SOP) solutions to remediate identified shortfalls for the next training year.

While the companies performed superbly at xCTC, they acutely felt the repercussions of many of the previously mentioned staff-level shortfalls. The gulf between what the battalion expected at xCTC and what the unit experienced was wildly different, and the battalion inadvertently promised a training event that differed significantly than what the

A Soldier assigned to A Company, 1st Battalion, 175th Infantry Regiment, engages a target during Exportable Combat Training Capability rotation 19-02.

unit encountered at Fort Pickett. While at xCTC, the units conducted a multi-day company defense followed by a multiday battalion defense. While the effective conduct of the defense is a core mission essential task (MET) of an infantry battalion, it lacks the "wow" factor that makes an extended annual training period memorable. The practical implication of the previously mentioned high-quality defensive posture meant that most Soldiers never engaged the OPFOR for multiple days. The battalion could, and should, have programmed more stimulating training, such as air assault operations or military operations on urban terrain (MOUT) training during the ample white space that xCTC contained.

From a tactical standpoint, insufficient weapons qualifications and LFXs were conducted in the months prior to the rotation, decisions that would directly impact unit operations while at xCTC. From an operations perspective, the unit did not aggressively pursue the administrative and tactical xCTC orders, resulting in incomplete and immature battalion-level products with which the companies were forced to contend. Insufficient numbers of trained drivers and a lack of deliberate advanced echelon (ADVON) manning resulted in the need to shuttle personnel back and forth from xCTC just to move all of the unit's equipment. The ammunition forecasting, drawing, and delivery woes

meant that companies went on lanes with insufficient ammunition. A lack of clarity on the amount of downtime the units would have during the TLP days while at xCTC prevented their ability to plan additional training or even well-resourced morale, welfare, and recreation (MWR) events. A lack of a cohesive intelligence picture degraded the immersive nature of lanes, inhibiting the ability of companies to both use and train their subordinate leaders on integrating intelligence and sustainment considerations into their the TLPs.

Successes at XCTC

At the platoon- and company-level, the subordinate units of 1-175th performed magnificently. First Army evaluators provided two MET ratings for units — one with respect to manning and one taking manning into account. The unit's manning mathematically prevented the unit from receiving above a "P" on any MET. However, with the "weighted" evaluations, all but one platoon in the battalion achieved a "T" on all of their tactical tasks. After the culminating brigade defense, the OPFOR commander and First Army evaluators specifically highlighted the competence and professionalism of the 1-175th's line units. Specifically, the commander of the OPFOR from 2nd Battalion, 22nd Infantry Regiment of the 10th Mountain Division, stated during the brigade AAR that the OPFOR could not effectively find 1-175th positions. He said when they could, they were effectively engaged by indirect fires; and that they ultimately made the decision to bypass the 1-175th and engage other formations. In doing so, they ultimately penetrated an adjacent battalion's defenses and were able to

nearly overrun the brigade headquarters. One First Army evaluator stated that his screening criteria for a quality unit were to assess the "give a --- factor" and "willingness to learn," with neither being an issue with the 1-175th. Another guipped that the unit had the "uniform discipline of a unit on its second tour in Vietnam but with the fieldcraft of a unit on its second tour in Vietnam" — unit leaders actually walked dead space with Soldiers and gun teams and created accurate sector sketches.

At the brigade level, the brigade S6 section's foresight in requesting multiple field service representatives to support the critical mass of communications equipment across multiple C4 platforms present at the rotation; as a result, the battalion was able to make leaps and bounds of progress in updating radios, computers, and receive subject-matter-expert training to help troubleshoot and repair equipment.

Finally, to highlight one tactical innovation, the unit's SUAS operators, S2 section, S3 section, and fire supporters developed an impromptu battle drill in which the unit's Raven operators would actively search for enemy patrols under the direction of the S2, report through S3 to confirm friendly positions, and rapidly push targets to the fire supporters, resulting in multiple OPFOR kills.

Lessons Learned

A fully functional, operational battle staff was not truly necessary at xCTC, and the companies had tactical-level mastery that helped supplant the battalion's shortfalls. A lack of understanding of what xCTC entailed resulted in an unfocused training plan leading up to the rotation. However, this did not inhibit the companies from drilling the fundamentals, and that focus on fundamentals led to smallunit success. The missed opportunity was failing to develop our battalion-level staff and our company and battalion leaders on their individual competencies to knit lethal companies together into a cohesive battalion. We owe our staff and leaders enough "sets and reps" to enable tactical and intellectual mastery when we need them to leverage and synchronize all of the battalion's internal and external enablers, systems, technology, and other resources. Once "decisively engaged" in the administrative rhythm of xCTC, the battalion staff lacked the ability to develop and implement deliberate staff training and operations. Engaging with your local Mission Command Training Support Program (MCTSP) prior to an xCTC rotation is a potential solution for additional staff training. MCTSP mobile training teams can come to your location during xCTC and provide training on mission command systems or processes, be it a full cycle of MDMP to engage the entire staff, or Command Post of the Future (CPOF) or Joint Capabilities Release (JCR) operator training for specific staff members.



A Soldier assigned to C Company, 1st Battalion, 175th Infantry Regiment, fires a star cluster. signaling a shift fire during training as part of xCTC rotation 19-02 at Fort Pickett.

In tangible terms, along with the standard friction and confusion inherent in every operation, the companies were unable to maximize all of their white space at xCTC and endured several long days in a well-executed defensive posture with little respite. The battalion was unable to exercise doctrinal sustainment, communication, or operational planning functions to their utmost. For units embarking on their own xCTC rotation, an xCTC rotation will only test your companies and platoons in a regimented manner. Make sure you understand the training calendar, what your Soldiers will be tested on and then prepare and resource them well, and have a plan to supplant what xCTC provides. Your sustainers and staff will be engaged sparingly; have a deliberate plan to test and develop them with an operational on-ramp similar to that of which you insist the companies engage. At the company level, train on the fundamentals of platoon tactics and have a plan to supplant xCTC with additional training — not generic hip-pocket training but running additional lanes and ranges at whichever location you attend your xCTC rotation. For the battalion staff, both in the lead-up to xCTC and during the rotation, force the deliberate integration of enablers down to the companies and have a deliberate "plan to plan" for the staff. Ensure you make the most of your rotation!

MAJ Alexi D. Franklin currently serves as the executive officer of the 1st Battalion, 175th Infantry Regiment, 2nd Infantry Brigade Combat Team, 28th Infantry Division. MAJ Franklin's previous Infantry assignments include serving as commander of C Company (LRS), 158th Cavalry Squadron, Maryland Army National Guard, and prior to that, a variety of companygrade assignments with the 173rd Airborne Brigade. Commissioned through ROTC in 2005 from Johns Hopkins University (JHU), he holds a bachelor's degree in political science and master's degree in government from JHU, a master's in business administration from Mount St. Mary's University, and a master's degree in defense and strategic studies as a National Defense University CWMD graduate fellow. This article could not have been possible without the generous assistance and input from the Soldiers, NCOs, and officers of 1-175th Infantry.